UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 6/7/06

TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION,
UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS PRODUCTIONS LLLP,
PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION,
DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC.,
CBS BROADCASTING INC.,
AMERICAN BROADCASTING COMPANIES, INC.,
and NBC STUDIOS, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CABLEVISION SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
and CSC HOLDINGS, INC.,

Defendants.

06 CV 3990 (DC)

THE CARTOON NETWORK LP, LLLP,
and CABLE NEWS NETWORK LP, LLLP,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CSC HOLDINGS, INC.,
and CABLEVISION SYSTEMS CORPORATION,

Defendants.

06 CV 4092

CABLEVISION SYSTEMS CORPORATION
and CSC HOLDINGS, INC.,

Counterclaim-Plaintiffs,

vs.

TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION,
UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS PRODUCTIONS LLP,
PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, DISNEY
ENTERPRISES, INC., CBS BROADCASTING INC.,
AMERICAN BROADCASTING COMPANIES, INC.,
and NBC STUDIOS, INC.,

Counterclaim-Defendants.

06 CV

Plaintiffs in both 06CV3990 (DC) and 06 CV 4092 have ~~has~~ asserted only claims of direct copyright infringement.

~~[PROPOSED]~~ SCHEDULING ORDER

The parties have agreed to the following schedule for expeditious summary judgment motions and limited discovery in connection with the above-captioned matter. Defendants CSC Holdings, Inc., and Cablevisions Systems Corporation (collectively "Cablevision") have stated that it will not be asserting a "fair use" defense against claims for direct infringement.

Date or Deadline	**Event(s)**
June 15, 2006	Document production begins on rolling basis
July 19, 2006 4:00	Status conference
July 31, 2006	Discovery completed
August 14, 2006	Memoranda in Support of Summary Judgment Motions due
September 14, 2006	Memoranda in Opposition to Summary Judgment Motions due
September 28, 2006	Reply Memoranda due
October 30, 31, 2006	Ready for any hearing or argument

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Hon. Denny Chin
United States District Judge

June 7, 2006

Defendants agree not to launch their "remote storage digital video recorder" pending resolution by the Court of the question of liability in this action. DC